

Sabda Pramãna in Saiva Siddhãnta

P. Chandrasegaram

ABSTRACT: This research paper on Sabda Pramāna in Saiva Siddhānta deals with the stand taken by Saiva Siddhanta in determining the place of Scriptural Evidence in Indian Philosophy as an error free means of Knowing. The Veda gives great importance to Sabda Pramāna. Excepting the Anti - Vedic (Avaidika) Darsanas - Lokāyata, Buddhism, Jainism - all other philosophical systems (darsanas) accept the suzerainty (overlordship) of the Veda. As these follow the Veda they are called, Vaidic darsanas. Saiva Siddhānta too, follow the footpath of the Veda, it is named 'Suddha Advaita Saiva Siddhānta'. Anyhow, it accepts the Agama as stronger to the Veda. Veda is for those who query about this world - its wherefore and why - After receiving enlightenment on getting answers to these questions, it becomes the soul as the recipient of Saktj and is 'impressed' by it. But many Vaidic darsanas do not admit Agama as authentic verbal testimony. Some of these darsanas do not accept Veda as created/delivered by God but eternal. Sivajñána Siddhiyār and other Siddhantic works accept both Veda and Agama as Pramanas and present rejoinders to the statements of Mimāmsakas. Sivāgrayogi and Sivajūána Munivar and such later commentators are in the forefront of refuting them. Linguistically - based arguments are put forward for proving their stand. What the Sastras present in compact argument form, these commentators elaborate at length. This method is also questioned here by the present writer. The recent researchers on Saiva point of view of Sabda Pramāna, the acceptability of the arguments are not questioned by valid review. This article, critically views the statement that Agama is at per with Veda as the Scriptural means of Knowing and also try to clarify whether the Saiva view of the stand that Veda is non eternal is a valid argument.

Key words: Saiva Siddhanta, Pramana, Veda and Agama

Introduction

Of the numerous Indian Philosophic systems, Saiva Siddhānta has a special importance. It is the essence of the Vedas – their peaks known as Upanishads, combined with the wisdom of the Saiva Āgamas. The word Saiva Siddhānta consists of two parts – Saiva, an adjective, meaning 'related to God Siva' and 'Siddhānta', connected with Sidh – realized, fulfilled.

The eternal advaitic relationship indicated between 'Pasu' (soul), 'Pathi' (God=Siva) and the triple 'Malas' (impurities) – \tilde{A} nava, Karma and M \tilde{a} y \tilde{a} should be realized. This is the summum bonum of life on earth not contradicting the Vedas and \tilde{A} gamas.

The Indian schools of thought did not arise because of the "Love of Wisdom." Their final aim is realizing Truth. *Saiva Siddhānta* is not an exception to this rule. Thiruvalluvar calls it Knowledge of the Truth.

1 "எப்பொருள் எத்தன்மைத் தாயினும் அப்பொருள்

மெய்ப்பொருள் காண்பதறிவு" (Thirukkural 5 : 355)

("To those who severance seek from being's varied strife,

flesh is burthen sore, what then other bonds of life"

² "கற்றீண்டு மெய்ப்பொருள் கண்டார்" (Thirukkural 5 : 356)

("Having learnt the Truth (Sat) and realized in their inner eye")

³ "எப்பொருள் யார் யார் வாய்க் கேட்பினும் அப்பொருள்

மெய்ப்பொருள் காண்பதறிவு" (Thirukkural 3: 423)

("Though learning none hath he, yet let him/her always, in weakness this shall prove a staff and stay."

"Knowledge of the True" means: the causes of birth and the knowledge of release are realized without doubt and devoid of misunderstood doubts. *Upadesa* should be had from the mouth of a guru, with Experience of Truth.

Indian Philosophy embodies ten means of Knowledge for realizing the Truth. Saiva Siddhānta compact them into three Instruments of Knowledge, namely, Pratyaksha (Perception), Anumāna (Inference) and Āgama or Sabda (Verbal testimony). The followers of Nyāyasastra (Naiyāyikas) define Pramāna as that which is the means of right (true) knowledge. It is called Pramā. Saiva Siddhāntins reduce even the three to a final one – namely, Atma chit – sakti (the soul's intelligence energy). It is free from errors and doubts. Pramāna is translated into 'Alavai'. The ordinary man utilizes different units to measure solids, liquids etc. The parallel units in contemporary life are Kilogram, ton, metre, Kilometre etc.. What we consider true objects observed in our daily life are measured thus. Similarly the Pramānas used by logicians are tabulated by philosophers.

Sabda Pramāna is superior to Pratyaksha and Anumāna in supplying true or error less knowledge. The knowledge we obtained through perception and inference, can be definitely ascertained with Sabda (Scriptural). Having obtained knowledge of this world by perception and inference, we test them by Scriptural Knowledge that is superior to them.

This Sabda Pramāna, undermines the special nature of the first two and help the soul to realize the Scriptural truths. This becomes possible because of the True nature of the Vedas. Sabda Pramāna is acceptable to many of the Indian Philosophies. But what should be included in this Pramāna is a matter of difference? Doubts arise among schools. This Pramāna discusses the problems such as: Is Veda eternal? Or is it also ephemeral? Is Āgama equal to Veda as an actual Pramāna? Or is it of a different plane of Truth. Strong differences are

observed among the followers of *Vedanta* philosophy, especially the *Sankarites* accept only *Veda* as eternal and true. *Ãgamas, Saiva, Sakta, Vaishna* – Are given only a secondary place as *smrithis. Vira Saivam* holds *Sivagamas* as superior to the *Veda*. So it is considered *Avaitika* (*Anti-Vedic*) by the *Ãgama* – followers. *Saiva Siddhānta* accepts both *Veda* and *Ãgama* as equal *Sabda Pramānas*. This research covers the *Sabda Pramāna* in all its aspects and leads the reader to the view of the *Saiva Siddhāntins* and helps them to arrive at their own conclusion.

Materials and Methods

This research paper has utilized primary printed sources such as

- 1. Paushkara Ãgama Bāshyam (Ambalavana Navalar of Sithankerny, Jaffna), 1925, Chidambaram,
- 2. Sivajñána Siddhiyãr Sivãgra Yogi's commentary
- 3. The same text with the commentary of Jñánaprakasa Tambiran, edited by M.Amarasinga Pulavar of Vannarpannai, Madras Ripon Press, 1889
- 4. Sivāgra Yogi's Saiva Paribāshā, Sivajāána Munivar's Sivajāána Pādiam, Kazhakam edition, 1926

The secondary printed sources are:

- Dr.V.Ponnaiya, Saiva Siddhānta Theory of Knowledge, Annamalai University, 1952.
- 2. Dr.V.A.Devasenāpati, Saiva Siddhānta as expounded by Sivajāna Siddhiyār and its six commentaries, Madras, 1960.
- 3. Saiva Prakāsam of Sankara Panditar of Neervely, Kazhakam, 1926 (2nd edition Tiruvavaduturai Adheenam, 2010)

This paper followed the descriptive method and Púrva Mimamsã, Nyãya, Sankara Vedhanta and other systems are touched following comparative and analytical methods.

The hypothesis is that *Veda* and *Ãgama* are the supreme *Pramānas*; besides the Tamil works on *Vedāgama* tradition, namely, the twelve *Tirumurais* are also included apart from the fourteen *Siddhānta Sastras*. *Tattva Prakāsam* and other middle – Indian sources are occasionally quoted.

Vedāgama may be non-existent in Kārya form – not used by a major part of society in practice, they exist in Kārana form often read, studied and quoted by traditional scholars and academics.

Now, there is a group of moderns who speak of Veda as authoritative, while another minor group defend the place of $\tilde{A}gama$ is more valuable m'ula texts. There is a rising school of thought in the Jaffna academic circles which probe into the validity of both Veda and $\tilde{A}gama$ and place them in prosper perspective with supportive evidence from classics and personal spiritual (direct) experience. That is also occasionally dealt with as an introduction to future researchers.

Discussion

Sabda Pramãna

The word 'Sabda', according to MacDonnell's dictionary has: "sound, voice, tone, note, word. Verbal authority as Pramāna or source of knowledge. Others include: letter, word, sentence and commentary. Scholars like S.C.Vidyābushan and Keith call 'Sabda' - 'speech'. Battacharya translates it 'word'. (Krishnarajah 1995:36) Sabda Pramāna has different words in Tamil Saiva works: Āgama Pramānam, Urai Alavai, Vāymai Chānru, Āpta Vākkiyam: Generally, in Indian philosophy, Sabda Pramāna is the standard word used by academic philosophers. In Saiva Siddhānta, particularly, "Sivajñána Siddhiyār" used Āgama Pramānam¹ as a standard term. (Thiruvilangam 2010, 37) Aksha Pāda defines it as "Āpta Upadesam Sabdah". (Nandala Sinha 1990, 5) Tamil Tarka Sangraham defines Sabdam as the word of the Āptan (truth – realizer), which is free from errors. (Swami Virpakshananda 1980) Thāyumānavar uses this word in his Tenth Decade. "The great word of the Āptas that without him not even an atom will move".² (Thāyumānavar Swamikal Pādal: No Year)

Appar Swamikal also uses the phrase 'Āptar moli'. Gāngesa defines Sabda as that word which arises from the true meaning is Sabda Pramāna. Upavarsha defined it as the Sāstra – the Veda sabda which reveals the knowledge of the Truth of Truths. These truths are not connected with the inferior knowledge we gather through our sense – perception. This is superior knowledge arising from the knowledge attained by Sabda Pramāna. In Saiva Siddhānta too, Āgama Pramāna is defined as the instrument of the feeling of the meaning of the word as activated by Chit-Sakti to create in the soul's mental reflection. (Sangara Pandithar 1925: 33)

The Qualification of an Aptan

As Sabda Pramāna is the word of the realized soul we must define who an $\tilde{A}ptan$ is. What are his qualities? What are revealed through his words? $V\tilde{a}tsy\tilde{a}yana$, the commentator on $Ny\tilde{a}ya$ Sútra, tabulates the qualifications of an $\tilde{A}ptah$ (Krishnarajah 1995, 36):

- 1 He should have attained direct/first-hand knowledge, acceptable to such persons
- 2 He should have straight forward aim in gathering knowledge
- 3 He should have the ability to transfer his views to others

Sivāgrayogi defines an $\tilde{A}ptah$; "He, who does not misunderstand the meaning due to delusion and thus convey its meaning erroneously, who perceives the true meaning according to Sabda Artha is an $\tilde{a}ptah$. Hence, he who is eternally free from Malas, eternally exuberant in Anand (Joy), all Knowing, all Doing (Sarva Kartha) that Siva is Parama $\tilde{A}ptah$ (Supreme $\tilde{A}ptah$). As his words are the Veda – $\tilde{A}gama$, they are $\tilde{A}pta$ Pramãnam - Supreme Pramãna. (Suryanarayana Sastri 1982, 43)

A person, who is a specialist in a particular field, can be called an $\tilde{A}ptah$, his words are also $\tilde{A}ptah$ $V\tilde{a}kyam$. His knowledge should be Supreme. He should be straight - forward in his statements – never crooked; He expresses himself with Special Knowledge. He is an $\tilde{A}ptah$ according to $V\tilde{a}tsy\tilde{a}yana$. (Nandala Sinha 1990, 5). Annambhatta mentions an $\tilde{A}ptah$ as a speaker of the truth. $\tilde{A}ptas$ may be many but Siva alone is the Supreme $\tilde{A}ptah$, is the standpoint of the $Siddh\tilde{a}ntin$. (Swami Virupakshananda 1980, 17).

Sankara Pandithar of Neervely defines $\tilde{A}di$ Sruti – the ancient Veda (Sruti-that which is heard) as the word of his eternal Pure Being; that Being is all Knowledge (sarvajñána) all-actor (Sarva Karthã). Bestower of Grace on all beings (Sarvãnugrahin), the only Perfect Being free from the triple inner defects – Passion, Anger and Delusion. He is never one – sided, He is Isvara (The Lord). He is The Most Meaningful (Parama+ arthah). What he presented as The Highest Truth – The Sum Total of Words – that is the $\tilde{a}di$ Sruti what have been collected as Sruti. (Sangara Pandithar 1925, 43).

Veda – Ãgama Pramãna

We use the words *Sruti*, *Veda*, *Ãgama*, *Ãmnãya* to denote the Scriptures. *Sruti* means 'that which is heard'. It is the only instrument to hear the highest meaning (word) heard from *Isvara* (Lord). '*Veda*' means Knowing (not as mere word, but know with the inner faculties). It is the instrument of the highest knowledge. *Ãgama* is Knowing perfectly – totally. *Ãmnãya* means that traditional text, inherited from the most Ancient *Guru*.

What are included in the Sabda Pramāna? The adherents of Sankara and Mandana Misra, i.e. the followers of Uttara and Purva Mimāmsās, hold that only Veda are meant by the phrase Sabda Pramāna. But Saiva Siddhāntins hold Veda and Āgama at par as Sruti. To them Āgama, too, belongs to Ādi Sruti.

Sankara Panditar in his classic "Saiva Prakāsanam" (Chapter on 'Saiva Sruti Nirúpanam): "Siva, the Anādhi Bhagavan (the Eternal Lord) gracefully bestowed on the Souls, the most Ancient Sruti during the First Creation (Pratama Mahā Srishti) the Veda. It has two divisions — veda and āgama due to the General (Sāmānya) and special nature of their contents." (Sangara Pandithar 1925, 71) Siddhiyār (verse, 19) defines Āgama as 'the Scripture ('Nool') presented by The Eternal and Spotless (Lord). Sivāgrayogi and Sivajñána Munivar include both Veda and Āgama alike as Srutis. Sivajñána Munivar (2008, 21) quotes a sentence from Srikanda Bāshyam to justify his statement that "there is no difference between Veda and Āgama." Both have the same authority for a Saivate. 13 Veda is general in the sense that those who consider their body is of some importance, they must adhere to Vedic injunctions regarding varna (caste) and Asrama (station of life). Āgama is related to those who are anxious for the release of the Ātmā.

They have already realized that both good and evil deeds are like gold and iron fetters and the Grace of *Siva Sakti* has pervaded them. Actually, these two, *Veda* and *Ãgama*, are the scriptures. All other words (Nool) are named the secondary ('showing the way') and tertiary (allied works). The *Veda* is like the Sutra which includes several meanings. The *Ãgama* is like *Bāshya* (Commentary). The *Veda* commands you to worship all deities for mundane benefits; hence it is general in nature. But the *Ãgama*, ordains you to worship Siva, the Lord of the Devas for release (*mukti*).

Sivāgrayogi elucidates the Siddhiyār (verse 267) thus: The phrase "Veda for the worldly" means those who follow the Vedic injunctions for the performance of yajña (rites) with the aim of enjoyment of this ephemeral world. They are not interested in the query of Brahman (Brahma Vichāra). They are enveloped in darkness. The phrase 'Sivāgama is for the Saktinipātas' means it is meant for those who have mild attachment to the world, received the Siva díkshas. They have an understanding of Siva Dharma, the triple knowledge of Pathi, Pasu and Pāsa, practice jñána (studying Saiva Sastras), Dyāna (Yogic Meditation) and try to be in Samāthi for mental poise. The epithet "the blameless sense of Vedanta" is purposefully placed here to denote the sense that is not contrary to Siddhānta. 14 (Thiruvilangam 2010, 423).

Here following our commentator, *Thiruvilankam* quotes *Thirumúlar*, the grand author of the Tamil $\tilde{A}gama$, i.e. Tirumantram:

"The Veda and the Agama are the only scriptures;

One is general and the other, special" (Tirumantram, 2397)

Both are the words of the Lord. For the searchers who think they are two ends,

Both are not different; they are non - different.

The refutations of those who question Agama' place in Sabdha Pramana

The Mimāmsakas consider that the Vedas alone are (Pramāna) standard works; not Āgamas. Sivāgrayogi and Sivajñána Munivar question their stand. The Mimāmsakas state: Veda is revealed by One Supreme (Brahman). It is undying. The Smriti passages that proclaim the eternality of the Veda have not mentioned its Author. Veda's authenticity is not questioned by the elite or the noble. Therefore they are blameless Pramāna.

Those who do not accept the $\tilde{A}gamas$ as supreme scriptures put forth the following objections.

- 1. \tilde{A} gamas are composed by certain authors; therefore they are not-everlasting
- 2. Ãgamas have certain errors; or they are not free from errors.
- 3. Works like $Mah\tilde{a}bh\tilde{a}rata$ that depict the highest goals of life prove their teachings, quoting the Vedas. They never quote the $\tilde{A}gamas$.
- 4. There are controversial statements in the $\tilde{A}gamas$.
- 5. Purãnas do not approve certain Ãgamas or Tantras; hence they are not eternal.

Examples such as King *Chitrasena* followed the *Pāsupata Tantra* and fell into hell. *Sútha Samhita* states that the curse of *Gautama* as the cause of following the way of the *Tantra*.

The *Vaidikas* discover the cause of some *brahmins* who follow the *Tantra marga* – they had lost the right and capacity to perform Vedic rites because of their fall. For their economic benefit the tantric works were written. The imaginative story further goes:

Parvati devi and Parameswara created Moha Sastra in the form of Sākta tantras and Sivāgamas. The Saivas do not accept the Mímāmsaka conclusion that the Eternal Veda is without an author. Letters (Varna) appear to our ears when uttered and disappear soon. Therefore words formed by letters and sentences formed by words have a beginning and an end. The Vedic works are non – eternal. Siva revealed the universe in the dual form of word (Sol) and object (Porul) for the elevation of the souls from ignorance to divine light. In that sense, Veda and Sivāgama are eternal scriptures. Sivajñána Munivar (2008, 18) states, "From Lokāyata to Veda are revealed by Siva to suit the different grades of souls. Sútha Samhita author's statement is to be construed in this sense.

Regarding the statement that the Noble men did not embrace the *Veda*, *Munivar* says, "If one wants to attain *Swarga* (the abode of Devas and ruled by *Indra*) he should perform *Vedic* rites such as *Jyothishtoma* (Praise of Light)

(a soma sacrifice). How it should be conducted is detailed in other *srutis* and *Kalpa Sutras*. Thus, the *Vedic* injunction 'Perform *Siva Púja*' is not detailed there. How to perform it are elaborated in *Kāmika* and other *Āgamas*. This is well – explained in the "*Chathurveda Tātparya Samgraha*" of *Haradatta Sivacharyār* (*Sivajñána Munivar*, 18)

Above all, Sveda, Upamanyu, Agastya and other Rishis received Siva Diksha and bestowed it on others. So certainly the Siva \tilde{A} gamas were embraced and accepted by the Noble.

As the *Vāma Tantra* and *Pāsupata Tantra* speak of non – *Vedic rites*, they are not *Pramana* texts.

Agamas are classified into two kinds:

- 1. Srauta agamas (Agamas affiliated to the Veda (Sruti))
- 2. A-srauta agamas (Agamas contrary to Sruti)

Srauta ãgamas support the Sruti; while others are meant to delude some type of souls, who are not evolved. These Vāma, Pāsupata, Lākula and such.

The Veda and Agama as Srutis

After the final dissolution of the Universe at the end of a Kalpa (Brahmā, the Creator's hundred years' end) i.e. the end of Mahā Samhāra, the creation begins once again. Parama Sivam's Power - Parasakti glances at Kudilai (Pranavam). Her first intention of creation is expressed. Vedas and Āgamas appear from Suddha Māyā in the form of Nādā (Eternal sound) and again in the form of Bindu. Finally, the "circle" appears. Parama Siva takes the form of Pancha Brahma Mantras (Five Mantras namely — Ísána, Tatpurusha, Aghora, Vāmadeva, Satyojāta). Now He assumes the two holy forms Sakala (Combined with Kalā) and Nishkala (devoid of Kalā). Now his form is known as Sadā Siva (The Eternal Siva). In this form the four faces Tatpurusha, Aghora, Vāmadeva, Satyojata exhibit the four Vedas and the Ísána exhumes the 28 Āgamas.

The Veda is propagated, through Ananta Deva⁵ (Dominic Goodall 2015: xxviii), to Brahmā, the creator. Pranava and other ten higher deities, and the another eight beginning with Maha Sútra. All these 28 Āgamas are subdivided into Siva Bheda and Rudra Bheda. All were collectively presented to Ananta, the first of the eight Vidyesvaras. Ananta taught it to Sri Kanta Rudra the chief of 118 Rudras.⁶ (Sivajāána Munivar, 113) He in turn, taught it to Nandi, who is directed to teach it to Devas, Ganas and Sages (Munis). Many of our Āgamas, declare the coming

down of the Mantra truth in this manner.

The General and the Special

The truthfulness of the sentences soars high as they are uttered by men, or gods. The words of Rishis cannot be undermined by the words of men because the Rishis have broader and deeper vision of Truth. Similarly, the words of the gods and goddesses are superior to those of rishis. The utterances of Brahma, the creator is superior to those of other gods (devas, or even the King of devas - Indra). Whereas Vishnu can over - ride the words of Brahma in this hierarchy of seniority Siva and Sri Rudras stand supreme - Siva reveals the Eternal Word of God. Sri Rudra gives expression to parts of it to Uma and Skanda according to their need at a particular time. The Authority of Siva's utterances to higher divinities stand higher than those, to the rishis. All Sastras that are non - contrary to Agamas are acceptable to the Saivas. Others that contradict the Agamas are not valuable. Mahabharata states that Kāmika and other Āgamas were revealed to hear by Mahesvara, whereas the 18 Purānas were, told by Dwaipāyana Vyasa, the son of Satyavathi and taught to Súta Purānika, who again taught it to Vaisampāyana and other rishis. So we value more the Agamas and hold their teachings superior to Puranas.

All (divine) works (*Nool*) are evaluated into two classes "strong" (*vanmai*) and "soft" (*menmai*). Hence the stronger works can contradict the weaker. This depends on the listener's capacity to understand levels of truth. For, example, the *Taitriya Upanishad* states (to the beginner) that *Anna maya gosha* (The Body formed by Food is *Brahman* - Truth). When the practitioner mediates on it and is ready to go beyond it, he is taught that *Prāna* (Breath) is the Sheath that makes the *Brahman*. Thus the Seeker after Truth is led beyond the five sheaths step by step.⁷ (Eight Upanishad 1953). In the beginning gross sheaths are presented as *Brahman* but the thinking man soon frees himself from it and seeks a nobler truth satisfactory to his intellect. Finally, the subtlest quality is expressed as the quality of *Brahman*. The gradation is to satisfy the intellectual capacity of the listener. The *Kowshitaki Upanishad a daitya* is taught by *Indra* that he himself was the *Supreme Brahman*. [A *daitya* is a son of *diti*, the second wife of *Kāsyapa* and not a demon as the translators show. *Ādityas* are sons of *Aditi*, the first wife of *Kāsyapa*]

Thus *Veda* and *Siddhānta Saiva* texts are the highest authority to the Southern Tamil *Saivas*. As *Veda* and *Āgama* are non-different to the noble, they are treated at two levels. The *Veda* is meant for the deserving (pakvins), who have the intellectual capacity for superior Knowledge. *Āgama* is given to be followed by the ready capable among the four castes. The *Súdra* who is cleansed by following ritualistic strict rules meant for the spiritually elevated will be ready to be

blessed by Siva Sakti. During the *yugas* (Ages) when *Vedic dharma* (Ethics) was regularly followed by all, caste had its distinct significance. But it deteriorated as *Kaliyuga* – dawned and progressed. (as the Greeks would call the Iron Age). This is pointed out by *Umapathi Sivam*, the commentator on *Pauskara Ãgama*.

Neclakanda's Sivāduvita Saiva Bhāshyam states clearly, "We find no difference between Veda and Sivāgama. As Siva is the author of both can be termed by the same word, i.e. Veda = Sivāgama .Both have the common authorship of Siva and Siva alone. This is said in Sruti; Purāna and Sivāgama. Pancha Brahma Mantras, the terminology of the triple eternal "objects" of Pasu, Pathi and Pāsa, the smearing of Vibhúti in the forms of Uddúlanam and Thripundaram, wearing rudrāksha, performing daily Siva Púja are all Sivadharmas — all these are stated in both Veda and Sivāgama.

Again, these dual works (Scriptures), refer to the *Bhedda* state (tightly held by the *malas* as nets, and finally released –*mukti* state). The fettered state is not eternal. Ānava is the only fetter since the beginning of the soul's journey. Karma and Māyā came into the scene half – way. This intermediary state is temporary. They last until the soul attains final Release. Hence, it is called a general state, while Mukti is termed Real state. (Mani 2001:54) Pauskara, Mirgendra, Matanga are āgamas describing the fettered state of the Soul. Hence they are general. Sarvajāánottara speak of Mukti state; therefore the former should be given secondary importance, whereas Sarvajāánottara should be given top priority. Another reason is Pauskara and other Āgamas were taught to rishis, whereas Sarvajnānottara was revealed to Skanda and Ganappati - the Sivakumaras. The former are inferior to Sivakumaras in pakuvam (maturity). Those with superior understanding will naturally be taught Súkshma subjects. As such, Sarvajāánottara should be given top priority over other above said Āgamas.8 (Sivajāána Munivar 2008:9)

Another example given by *Sivajñána Munivar* is: Truths enunciated by *Atharvasikã* and other *Upanishads*, when compared to the teachings of the *Ãgamas* is like *sutra* and *bhāshya* (Text and Commentary).

He brings Sthula Arundhati Nyāya³ to justify his comparison of Text and Commentary.(Sivajñána Munivar, 2008, 7) This is agreeable to Dr.V.Ponniah as well. The recent developments in Western Philosophy, namely, hermeneutics upholds this fallacy. P.Kailasapathi completely denies this: The original author of the Sútra has attained a very high state in divine vision. The commentator who tries to explain it to the charlatans (the common people) can never dream of attaining that spiritual height. So he utters what he has learnt from texts with the help of his petty understanding. He tries to impose his own thought on the original Sútrakãra. That is what happened in the case of the Classical

commentators – Sankara, Ramanuja and others. Sivajñána Munivar's exposition of the Venbã. "Akāra Ukaram" (Sivajñána Bodham Sútra adhikaranal) also clearly shows he was merely repeating what he learnt from texts. (Mani 2001, 60)

He classifies the Upanishads thus:

- 1. Kapāla and others are general
- 2. Chaãdogya and others are general special
- 3. Atharva Sikhā, Atharvasiras, Svetāsvatara, Kaivalya and other Upanishads reveal true Mukti state- i.e. Practice (Sādhanai) and result (Payan). Hence they are supreme

Sivajñána Munivar upholds his gradation and definitely states that the last list of Special Saiva Ãgamas should be held in top priority.

Sect of Agama Pramana

Saiva Pirakāsanam, the important work of Sankara Panditar of Neervely, divides Veda into Karma and Jūána Khāndas. Again it is divided into Mantra and Pramānam. They teach us Sthothram (Prayers) and Sāstram (Knowledge). Pramāna is, again, subdivided into Vidhi Vādam (Rules) and Artha Vādam. Vidhi explains how it has two branches. Karma Vidhi and Jūána Vidhi. The former teaches persons who don't follow the path of knowledge. Niyama Vidhi praises the rules for "must" (those that should be done). The rules of exemption specifically speak ill of the undesirable activities, show examples of other peoples' acts, point old events that may be followed. Thus Vidhi (Rules) are emphasized.

Here too $\tilde{A}gama$ is given priority above the *Veda*. *Saiva Saints* too, point out in their *Thevãram* and *Tiruvãsakam* hymns that the *Veda* and $\tilde{A}gama$ is the creation of God *Siva*. The 14 *Meikanda Sãstras* also give priority to *Veda* and $\tilde{A}gama$. "He, who manifests as $\tilde{A}gama$ and makes the soul get near him." The *Vedas* invoked you as "Sire!", you grew lofty, deep, broad and subtle. Knowledge of *Veda* is not sufficient to know him. $\tilde{A}gama$ is necessary!

Mahabhārata Sānti parvan, and Brahma Sútra of Vyāsa contain Āgamic thought. Bhagavate and other researchers conclude the Veda and Āgama are equally ancient.

Sivajñána Siddhiyãr and Tattva Prakasam divide Ãgamas into Tantra, Mantra and Upadesa Kalãs. Tattva Prakasam uses the terms Tantrãgamam, Mantrãgamam and Upadesãgamam. Generally, ãgamas divide the content into Charyã, Kriya, Yoga and Jñána Pãdams. Sivãgrayogi explains the connection between the type divisions.

Charyā and Kriya, are included in the Tantra section. Yoga is explained in the Mantra section. Upadesā comes under Jāána. The Vedic division of Karma, Upāsanā and Jāána Khandas are parallel to this Āgamic Tantra, Mantra and Upadesā Kalā. Sivajāána Munivar has similar explanation.

Tantra Kalā is first introduced in verse 13 of *Siddhiyār*. "Yama, Nyama and other limbs of the eight of old *yoga* burn the force of the *malas*, and the resulting higher knowledge is *Yoga Kādchi*. (Thiruvilangam 2010, 38)

Mantra Kalã

This is necessary for the *yoga mārga* starting with *mantra dyāna*. (Meditation on the mantra) Those, starting worship from inner *pújāh* shall first dedicate their mind to God. *Arulnandi Sivāchārya* describes it as: "Mantras are the truths that are used to control the *Antahkaranāms* (*Manas*, *Buddhi* etc.) and worship the deities.(Thiruvilangam 2010, 38) *Mantras* not mere sounds. They result in control of mind and a climb to the heights of Truth.

The 25 Mahesvara bhedams- Sabapathi, Dukshināmurti, Lingotbhava are having parallel Mantra forms along with Rúpa form. Having mastered the mind – Ahamkara, Buddhi etc. controlling them and not permitting them to wander away from the self, and allowing them to rest in peace, the Sādaka practices dhyāna constantly.

Mantra has man = to think, as the root; tra is the suffix meaning = to protect.

So *Mantra* is explained as the protector of the Thinker, (or Meditator) by *Sivāgrayogi*. One, who meditates on God as a person with the form of a particular *Mantra* is protected constantly by that deity, believes that he relates the *Mantra Bhāga* of the *Āgama* is the path to yoga form of worship.

In *charyã* form of worship, the initiate (who received *díkshã* or initiation) the novice begins his *yogic* type of worship thus:

- Mantra Nyāsa: Touching those parts of body (Anga nyāsa and Kara nyāsa).
 This trims the body ready for meditation by investing grace on the angas.
- 2. Rishi: That sage, who "saw" (with his inner eye) that particular Vedic Mantra is honoured with thanks.
- Chandas: The prosody explaining that hymn Being one of the six Vedāngas, its knowledge is a "must" for understanding the Veda – mantra
- 4. Adi devatã: Each mantra has an adi devatã, presiding deity.
- 5. *Beejãkshara*: The seed, origin, the letter that forms, the seed of that mantra.
- 6. Sakti: The power or spiritual strength attained by the Sādhaka, who meditates on that mantra.

Upadésa Kala

Sivāgrayogi gives the meaning of the word Upadésa thus: inform, shows the direction, make you know. As such, the Upadésa Kala explains the Svarúpa (Real form) of Siva. He is far above birth and death. Soul is bound by the body. This body is subject to birth and death. Of $P\bar{a}sa$ (fetters), he distinguishes three $\bar{A}nava$, Karma and $M\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ – that form the special cause of birth and death.

Results and Findings

Saiva Siddhānta accepts Veda and Āgama as chief Pramāna. These scriptures are not what we see in print. They were created /revealed by Sadasiva murti in the time of primary creation, hence the name Veda Sabda for this Eternal Knowledge. Mimāmsakas call the Veda, Sabda Pramāna, eternal. Their argument is: the letters (akshara) that form the Vedas are non-destructible. Therefore the Vedas are also non-destructible. The Saiva Siddhānta scholars argue that the letters are momentary. They exist in sound form at the moment of their utterance. But physical sound that is comprehended by the ear is momentary. But as the revelation of Sadāsiva Múrti, were received by the sages (Rishis and Munis) whose andhahkaranas are not like ours, due to their high purity and communicated to pakvins (deserving pupils). Just as we have constructed gadgets that replay the sounds recorded in them, the sacred rishis could convey their Vedic truths to proper listeners. The Vedāgamas eternally exist in their súkshma sound form. The Kārana form exists, though the Kārya form may perish.

Sivajñána Munivar's gradation that teaching to those in high levels like Sivakumaras, Devas, Rishis and men are capable of receiving Higher Knowledge is also acceptable to scholars. Because, Ānma Chit-sakti is the highest Pramāna for Siddhāntins. The receipient's understanding will depend on the strength of the Moola Mala (Ānava) that envelops him. For example, Devikalottaram confirms that Charyã and Kiriyã will have no effect (prayojanam) for those matured in Jñána.

Arulnandhi Sivāchārya tabulated Āgama Pramāna into three: 1.Tantra Āgama, 2.Mantra Āgama, 3.Upadesa Āgama. The commentators combine the Karma Khānda, Upāsanā Khānda and Jāna Khānda with the above three classifications and explain the overlapping nature of the two. But Saiva Pirakāsanam puts forward Sruti as Karma and Jāna Khāndas. Arulnandhi's division seems to be deep and meaningful.

Conclusion

In Indian philosophy, Sabdha Pramāna holds an important place as a means of knowledge, rather it is the chief instrument of knowledge. Most of the six

systems of Indian philosophy embraa *veda* as *Sabdha Pramāna* and exclude *Āgama*. But *Saiva Siddhānta* accepts *Veda* and *Āgama* as combined *Sruti Pramāna*. This is the *param+artha*, the supreme object. But considering their 'weightiness' or 'highness' of these *āgamas*, some are classified as 'special' and off them, 'Sarvajāánottaram' and 'Devikālottaram' are given the highest priority by the commentators.

If there any contradictions in the $\tilde{A}gamas$, these are given weight in knowledge. The classification and analysis of the Saiva Siddhantin with his Tantra, Mantra and Upadesakalas seem to be unique.

End Notes

- 1. "அநாதியே அமலனாய அறிவநூல் ஆகமம் தான்" (Sivajñána Siddhiyār Subakkam 19)
- 2. அவனன்றி ஒரு அணுவும் அசையாது எனும் பெரிய ஆப்தர் மொழி ஒன்று" (Thãyumānavar Swamikal Pādal 10.1)
- 3. The Jaffna man proudly remembers that this 'farmer of Neervely' spoke on Tarka (Logic) for six hours, nonstop in an assembly of great scholars at Pachaiyappan College then known as Pachchaiya's High School in Madras. He spoke in pure Sanskrit. those were days when Sanskrit and Tamil were treated equally, Aryo Dravidian animosity had not cropped. (Sangara Pandithar 43)
- 4. வேதநால் சைவநால் என்று இரண்டே நூல்கள், வேறு உரைக்கும் நூல் இவற்றின் விரிந்த நூல்கள், ஆதிநூல் அநாதி அமலன் தரு நூல் இரண்டும், ஆரணநூல் போது: சைவம் அரும் சிறப்பு நூலாம், நீதியினால் உலகர்க்கும் சத்தி நிபாதர்க்கும், நிகழ்த்தியது நீள் மறையின் ஒழி பொருள் வேதாந்தம். பிறநூல் திகழ் பூர்வம்: சிவாகமங்கள் சித்தாந்தம் ஆகும், (Thiruvilangam 267)
- Lord Ananta Bhattāraka, or Anantesa is the vice regent of Siva, who initiates a period of
 cosmic emanation (Sruti). Ananta, with his powers irradiate Māyā and so cause it to birth to
 bodies, faculties, etc. Dominic Goodall., The (Parākhya Tantra xxviii)
- 6. The ten Rudras posted in the ten directions are positioned thus: Surapā-East, Rudra Valmi -South East, Samyama-South, Mārana-South West, Abjala-West, Sighrala-North West, Saumyada-North, Pinga-North East. Sambhu Up. above. Andala-Below (Parahya Tantra 313)
- 7. Annādvai prajāhā prajāyante (Taitiriya Upanishad (dvitíya:anuvakah) The Taitiriya Upanishad (Chap. 2) "All sorts of races of creatures that have their refuge upon earth, are begotten from food ...very they who worship the Eternal as food, attain the mastery of food. There is a second and inner self which is other than this. It is made of the vital stuff called Prāna (Vital Breath) those who worship it as Brahman. Then they are taught that Mano Maya Kosha is Brahman. They realize it after deeper mediation. Again Vijñána Maya Kosha (knowledge), the sheath of Atma (soul) is presented as Brahman. Then comes the presentation of Anānda. Bliss as Brahman. It is regrettable that modern science is translated as Vijñánam in Tamil, quite contrary to the word's traditional meaning. [Vi+jñánam = Higher Knowledges; Pra + jñánam = Knowledge] (Eight Upanishad, 1953)

- 8. Recent Western researchers feel that Pauskara Ãgama Vrithi Commentary is not the work of Umapathi Sivam, the author of Siddhanta Sastras. They present cogent arguments that, it and Sarvajñánottara are not very old ãgamas. (Sivajñána Munivar 9).
- 9. Sthula Arundhati Nyāya is a common example frequently put forward by Saiva Writers. In the Akasa above (heaven) on the Northern hemisphere are the seven stars (Sapta Rishi Mandala) in the form of Question Mark, the first two stars may be connected to the pole star by a straight line. The fifth star is Vasishta and his spouse Arundhati is faint by Vasishta. This Nyāya tells us how a gross object can be shown first and the indistinct one later with the aid of the former. (Sivajñána Munivar 7).

Reference

- Ambalavana Navalar (Ed.), Paushkara Agama Bāshyam, Chidambaram, 1925
- Amarasinga Pulavar, M., (Ed.), (commentary) Sivajñána Siddhiyãr with the commentary of Jñánaprakasa Tambiran, Vannarpannai, Madras Ripon Press, 1889.
- Devasenapati, V,A., Saiva Siddhanta as expounded by Sivajnana Siddhiyar and its six commentaries, Madras, University of Madras, 1960.
- Dominic Goodall., *The Parākhya Tantra, A Scripture of the Saiva Siddhānta*, Pondicherry, French Insitute of Pondicherry, 2015.
- Eight Upanishad., Pondichery, Sri Aurobindo Ashram, 1953.
- Gowmareeswary, S., Thirumantiram Moolamam Elliya Uraiyum, Saratha Press, 2009.
- Krishnarajah,S., Epistemology of Saiva Siddhānta –An Introduction, Colombo Department of Hindu Religious and Cultural Affairs, 1995.
- Mani.Si.Su., Sivajñána MãPãdiyam with Explanatory Notes, Mani Publication, Palayamkottai, 2001.
- Nandalal Sinha., *The Nyāya Sûtras of Gotama, reprint*, Delhi, Motil Banarsidass Publishers (Pvt) Ltd, 1990.
- Ponnaiya, V., Saiva Siddhānta Theory of Knowledge, Chidambaram, Annamalai University, 1952.
- Sangara Pandithar., Saiva Pirakāsanam, Thirunelvely The India Saiva Siddhanta Nootpathippu Kalaham Ltd., 1925.
- Sivajñána Munivar., Sivajñána Pādiam, Chennai, Saiva Siddhãnta Peru Mandram, 2008.
- Suryanarayana Sastri, S.S., The Saiva Paribāshā of Sivāgrayogin, Madras, University of Madras, 1982.

76 P. Chandrasegaram

Swami Virupakshananda., (Translation), *Tarka Sangrahah*, Madras, Ramakrishna Math, 1980.

Thāyumānavar Swamikal Pādal, Sengalady, Sivathondan Nilayam, No year.

Thiruvilangam, M., (Commentary), Sivajñána Siddhiyār Subakkam, third edition Jaffna, Sivaththondan Saba, 2010,