8 Tétrault-Farber G. Attack on Gaza hospital 'unprecedented' in scale, WHO says. Reuters, Oct 18, 2023. https://www.reuters.com/world/ middle-east/attack-gaza-hospitalunprecedented-scale-who-says-2023-10-17/ (accessed Oct 23, 2023).

## A decolonised Commission agenda: the missing ingredients

The Lancet Commission on Peaceful Societies Through Health Equity and Gender Equality¹ empirically establishes that progress in health equity and gender equality could nudge societies towards peace and justice. As members of the Commission (2019–23), we withdrew from coauthorship on the principle that a decolonial lens was fundamental for the Commission's theory of change to be truly transformative.

Historically, calls for decolonisation were guided by aspirations for radical dismantling of colonial structures, including the capitalist system.<sup>2</sup> Built on legacies of imperial tropical medicine, global health is implicated in centuries of plundering, exploitation, and ongoing neocolonial extractivism.<sup>3</sup> Today, the decolonising global health movement has compelled researchers to at least pay lip service to its demands.

Recalling the work of decolonisation scholars, the Commission advocates for gender equality and health equity to be led from the inside out and cautions against imitation projects.¹ Despite nodding towards self-determination, the Commission's seemingly superficial decolonial agenda resulted in three key shortcomings. The research uses a narrow approach to peace; rests its analysis on a set of health equity and gender equality indicators that are narrow in scope; and neglects the role of capitalism in current crises.

Identifying structural inequalities and power asymmetries fuelled by economic and political instability, the climate crisis, and the COVID-19 pandemic, as at the root cause of multiple crises, the Commission points to failures in leadership, governance, and weakened multilateralism as contributors to escalated conflicts within societies. In testing relationships among peace, gender equality, and health equity, however, the Commission defines peace as the absence of organised violence (ie, "state-based internal armed conflict, total and civilian battle-related deaths per population resulting from varying types of conflict, and state repression").1 Many would assert that structural violence-coined by Galtung to refer to violence inflicted by social structures and institutions might ultimately be more destructive to human wellbeing than organised violence. As noted in the Commission report, the differential effects of the polycrisis evidence this perspective. Considering structural violence would have compelled a different set of case studies and widened the ambit of the Report's recommendations.

The health equity and gender equality indicators are similarly narrow in scope. Infant mortality and life expectancy, as proxy measures for health equity, might not reflect WHO's state of complete wellbeing but seem a reasonable start. Yet, the Commission limits its analysis of health equity mechanisms to health systems, arguing that incorporating social determinants of health would require "substantial new theory development and statistical analysis",1 despite decades-long social determinants of health work by WHO, scholars, and social movements.4,5 Given the salience of addressing structural inequalities to peace and justice, surely measures of social determinants of health should have been integrated into the analysis.

Adolescent fertility and female-to-male mean years of education as proxies for gender equality, albeit accepted by the mainstream, are equally problematic.

Reasons for adolescent pregnancy vary by context and might reflect the overall conditions of deprivation rather than primarily gender-based oppression. Although relevant in many settings, female-to-male mean years of education neglects the weakening of public education systems<sup>6</sup> and decreasing academic achievement among all genders.7 A fundamental question is whether these measures universalise a hegemonic, static, culturally bound, binary, and individualistic understanding of gender.8 Indeed, the trope of teenage pregnancy is deployed by family planning programmes, favoured by donors promoting gender equality, with little attention to the broader landscape of inequality. Might appreciating the contextual nature of gender have compelled the Commission to use an indicator that at least addresses multiple dimensions of power?9

Commission identifies "legacies of slavery and colonialism" and "geopolitics, the international political economy, the international exchange of ideas as well as efforts by multilateral organisations" as shaping health equity and gender equality,1 without naming global capitalism. Powerful private actors influence global health agenda-setting, which was prominently reflected in big pharma's role in blocking a Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights waiver for COVID-19 vaccine technology.10 Big tobacco, alcohol, and food have direct effects on health, while the fossil fuel and mining industries are major players in the climate crisis. Beyond wielding direct power and lobbying, these actors reinforce unhealthy narratives by influencing judicial and regulator appointments and public opinion through astroturf front groups and control of media.11 Despite their significance for health equity and gender equality, capitalism and these actors do not receive any mention in the Commission report.



Published Online October 18, 2023 https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(23)02054-8

The way we measure problems shapes the solutions we develop. The unavailability of good quality data and potential confounders led the Commission to select a narrow set of indicators and abandon intersectional analysis. Although the absence of inequality data is itself political, the valorising of positivist approaches and large *n* studies deters deeper examination of complex social and political phenomena.12 Although the Commission "[believes] in the value of researchers striving for objective and generalisable research findings",1 knowledge production, as a function of time and culture, might accentuate power asymmetries.12

Who sets the agenda in collaborative research and which types of knowledge and evidence are considered valid? The choice of Commissioners from varied cultural and disciplinary backgrounds in the Commission is laudable. However, Commissions in *The Lancet* are generally composed of experts either based in high-income countries, or educated at or affiliated with their elite institutions. Might neglecting structural violence, the inattention to social determinants of health, and assumptions underlying the indicators be shaped by positionality?

Is the Commission's choice of issues and actors to address similarly constrained? The case studies spotlight sexual violence, particularly its community dynamics, arguably downplaying the traditional powers' role in violence, exploitation, corruption, and collective punishment.13 A previous Commission Comment,14 seemingly premised on the uniqueness of the Russian invasion in its violation of European borders and occupation, and its condemnation of the use of cluster mines, attacks on civilians, and nuclear threats might appear wilfully blind to traditional powers' transgression and complicity15 in Yugoslavia, Palestine, or Ukraine itself.

The Commission calls on decolonisation scholars to accept the importance of universal principles and their potential to guide change.¹ However, a decolonial approach does not reject universalism and instead demands re-historicising and re-politicising universalist assumptions that reinforce power asymmetries and discourses that construe some peoples and places in colonial ways.<sup>8,16</sup> A decolonial lens would have compelled the Commission to analyse structural violence, break away from epistemological power structures, and call for a radical, rather than reformist, re-envisioning of our collective futures.

The authors withdrew from coauthorship of the report of The Lancet Commission on Peaceful Societies Through Health Equity and Gender Equality in June, 2023. NA has consulted to Interpeace and WHO Somalia, and has been supported by WHO EMRO within the last 3 years.

## \*Ramya Kumar, Neil Arya ramyak@univ.jfn.ac.lk

Faculty of Medicine, University of Jaffna, Jaffna 40000, Sri Lanka (RK); United Nations University-International Institute for Global Health, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia (RK); Department of Family Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada (NA); School of Public Health Sciences, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, Canada (NA); Balsillie School for International Affairs, Waterloo, ON, Canada (NA)

- Percival V, Thoms OT, Oppenheim B, et al. The Lancet Commission on peaceful societies through health equity and gender equality. Lancet 2023; 402: 1661–722.
- 2 Krugman DW. Global health and the elite capture of decolonization: on reformism and the possibilities of alternate paths. PLoS Glob Public Health 2023; 3: e0002103.
- 3 Birn AE, Pillay Y, Holtz TH. Textbook of global health. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2017.
- 4 WHO. Closing the gap in a generation. 2008. https://www.who.int/publications/i/ item/9789241563703 (accessed Sept 27, 2023).
- 5 Baum F. People's health and the social determinants of health. *Health Promot J Austr* 2018; 29: 8–9.
- 6 UNESCO. Global education monitoring report 2022 South Asia: nonstate actors in education. 2022. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ ark:/48223/pf0000383550 (accessed Oct 17, 2023).
- 7 Buhl-Wiggers J, Jones S, Thornton R. Boys lagging behind: unpacking gender differences in academic achievement across East Africa. Int J Educ Dev 2021: 83: 102382.
- 8 Khader SJ. Decolonizing universalism: a transnational feminist ethic. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2019.
- 9 UN Development Programme. Gender Social Norms Index: breaking down gender biases. 2023. https://hdr.undp.org/system/files/ documents/hdp-document/gsni202303pdf. pdf (accessed Sept 27, 2023).

- 10 Third World Network. Strong support for TRIPS waiver amidst opposition by big pharma. 2021. https://www.twn.my/title2/ health.info/2021/hi210306.htm (accessed Sept 27, 2023).
- 11 Rollins N, Piwoz E, Baker P, et al. Marketing of commercial milk formula: a system to capture parents, communities, science, and policy. *Lancet* 2023; 401: 486–502.
- 12 Ramani S, Whyle EB, Kagwanja N. What research evidence can support the decolonisation of global health? Making space for deeper scholarship in global health journals. Lancet Glob Health 2023; 11: e1464–68.
- 13 Van Auken B. US imperialism's criminal debacle in Afghanistan. 2021. http://www.rawa.org/ temp/runews/2021/07/24/us-imperialismcriminal-debacle-in-afghanistan.html (accessed Sept 27, 2023).
- 14 Yamey G, Arya AN, Bhutta ZA, et al. A call for an immediate ceasefire and peaceful end to the Russian aggression against Ukraine. Lancet 2022; 399: 1284–87.
- Krauss J. Many in Mideast see hypocrisy in Western embrace of Ukraine. 2022. https:// apnews.com/article/russia-ukraine-islamicstate-group-jerusalem-migration-europe-1ce 41cc04aed6afc415e6ed83f83c984 (accessed Sept 22, 2023).
- 16 Vergès F. A decolonial feminism. London: Pluto Press, 2021.

## **Authors' reply**

As Commissioners, Ramya Kumar and Neil Arya showed a strong commitment to social justice and we remain grateful for their participation in the deliberations of The Lancet Commission on Peaceful Societies Through Health Equity and Gender Equality. 1 In their Correspondence, they criticise the Lancet Commission<sup>1</sup> for its failure to adopt a decolonising lens that has led, in their view, to three critical shortcomings: the Commission's measurement of peace, its measurement and analyses of gender equality and health equity, and the absence of analysis of the effect of global capitalism. The Commission recognises the value of a decolonising lens; it can reveal the lasting legacy of historical injustices and the effect of global power structures on levels of health equity, gender equality, and peace. Although we acknowledge their constructive intent, these specific criticisms reflect neither the substance nor the spirit of the Commission.

To conceptualise, measure, analyse, and thereby build an evidentiary base on the relationships among health



Published Online October 18, 2023 https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(23)02055-X